24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	
10	IN RE CNET NETWORKS, INC. No. C 06-03817 WHA SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE
11	LITIGATION
12 13	FURTHER ORDER FOR INFORMATION
14	This Document Relates To:
15	ALL ACTIONS
16	
17	The Court presumed, based on Mr. Down's earlier statements, that the Delaware
18	complaint and summons would be served by June 8, 2007, or close thereto. Now, it is June 19
19	and the complaint still has not been served. Mr. Downs is requested to explain why he did not
20	obtain a summons when he flew back to Delaware to file the complaint (as per his May
21	representation) so that the complaint could be served forthwith. The Court has said that this
22	ancillary effort must move with alacrity or else we will dispense with it. Mr. Downs must reply
23	by declaration by FRIDAY, JUNE 22, 2007, AT NOON.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 19, 2007.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE